ATTACHMENT 7B

NC Cost Share Programs Supervisor Contracts

Soil and Water Conservation Commission

County	Contract Number	Supervisor Name	ВМР	Contract Amount	Comments
Beaufort	07-2024-020	Archie Griffin	Cover Crop	\$6,562	Applicant: Griffin Ag
Harnett	43-2024-018	Kent Revels	Cover Crop	\$17,320	
Lee	53-2024-005	Tony Ragan	Sod-Based Rotation - 17 month	\$1,500	
Lee	53-2024-006	Tony Ragan	Sod-Based Rotation - 17 month	\$765	
Lee	53-2024-007	Tony Ragan	Sod-Based Rotation - 17 month	\$1,640	
Lee	53-2024-802	John Dalrymple	Conservation Irrigation Conversion	\$35,000	Applicant: Dalrymple Farms
Martin	58-2024-009	Richard Cannon	Grassed Waterway	\$13,959	Applicant: Cannon Bros Farms, Inc
Mecklenburg	60-2024-004	Daniel Austin	Water Well & Pump	\$10,973	Applicant: Austin-Kidd Farm

Total Number of Supervisor Contracts: 8

Total \$87,719

NC -CSPs-1B (05/22)

ADDENDUM TO APPLICATION FOR ASSISTANCE NORTH CAROLINA COMMISSION COST SHARE PROGRAMS

As a Soil and Water District Supervisor, for the
District, I have applied for, or stand to benefit from, a contract under a commission cost share program. I did
not vote on the approval or denial of the application or attempt to influence the outcome of any action on the
application. The proposed contract is for the installation of the following best management practices.
Program: ACSP
Best management practice: Cover Crop
Contract number: 07-2024-020 Contract amount: \$ 6,562.00
Score on priority ranking sheet: 65 out of 80
Cost Share Rate: 75% If different than 75%, please list % percent:
Reason:
Relative rank (e.g., ranked 8th out of 12 projects considered): $\frac{20}{}$ out of $\frac{20}{}$
Were any higher or equally ranked contracts denied? Yes Vo
If yes, give an explanation as to why the supervisor's contract was approved over the other contracts:
Supervisor name (Print): Archie Griffin
5-16-24
(District Supervisor's signature) Date
Approved by (Print): James Allen
James Alin 5-20-24
(District Chairperson's signature) Date
To be completed by Department of Agriculture staff only:
The Soil & Water Conservation Commission has approved the subject application for a contract pursuant G.S. 139-8(b)(2) on The record of Soil & Water Conservation Commission action is recorded in themeeting minutes.

^{*}Beneficiaries include but are not limited to applicant, landowner, and/or business partners.

NC -CSPs-1B (05/22)

ADDENDUM TO APPLICATION FOR ASSISTANCE NORTH CAROLINA COMMISSION COST SHARE PROGRAMS

<i>il</i> #
As a Soil and Water District Supervisor, for theSoil and Water Conservation District, I have applied for, or stand to benefit* from, a contract under a commission cost share program. I did not vote on the approval or denial of the application or attempt to influence the outcome of any action on the application. The proposed contract is for the installation of the following best management practices.
Program: $ACSP$
Best management practice: Cover CNP
Contract number: 43-2024-018 Contract amount: \$ 17,325
Score on priority ranking sheet: 230 out of 250
Cost Share Rate: 76 % If different than 75%, please list % percent:
Reason:
Relative rank (e.g., ranked 8th out of 12 projects considered): 2 out of 3
Were any higher or equally ranked contracts denied? Yes X No
If yes, give an explanation as to why the supervisor's contract was approved over the other contracts:
Supervisor name (Print): Kent Revels
J. Kent Revel 5-16-24
(District Supervisor's signature) Date
Approved by (Print): Gerald Temple
Derald Tempse 5-16-24
(District Chairperson's signature) Date
To be completed by Department of Agriculture staff only:
The Soil & Water Conservation Commission has approved the subject application for a contract pursuant G.S. 139-8(b)(2) on The record of Soil & Water Conservation Commission action is recorded in themeeting minutes.

^{*}Beneficiaries include but are not limited to applicant, landowner, and/or business partners.

NC -CSPs-1B (05/22)

ADDENDUM TO APPLICATION FOR ASSISTANCE NORTH CAROLINA COMMISSION COST SHARE PROGRAMS

As a Soil and Water District Supervisor, for theSoil and Water Conservation District, I have applied for, or stand to benefit* from, a contract under a commission cost share program. I did not vote on the approval or denial of the application or attempt to influence the outcome of any action on the application. The proposed contract is for the installation of the following best management practices. Program: NCACSP
Program: 17 month
Best management practice: Sod-Based Rotation 17 month
Contract number: 53-2024-005 Contract amount: \$ 1,500.00
Contract number: 53-2024-005 Contract amount: \$ 1,500.00 Score on priority ranking sheet: 285 out of 475
Cost Share Rate: 75 % If different than 75%, please list % percent:
Reason:
Relative rank (e.g., ranked 8th out of 12 projects considered): $\frac{2}{2}$ out of $\frac{4}{2}$
Were any higher or equally ranked contracts denied? Yes Vo
If yes, give an explanation as to why the supervisor's contract was approved over the other contracts:
Supervisor name (Print): Tony L. Ragan
(District Supervisor's signature) Letter 11-24 Date
Approved by (Print): Michael L. Gaster
(District Chairperson's signature) (District Chairperson's signature)
To be completed by Department of Agriculture staff only:
The Soil & Water Conservation Commission has approved the subject application for a contract pursuant G.S. 139-8(b)(2) on The record of Soil & Water Conservation Commission action is recorded in themeeting minutes.

^{*}Beneficiaries include but are not limited to applicant, landowner, and/or business partners.

NC -CSPs-1B (05/22)

ADDENDUM TO APPLICATION FOR ASSISTANCE NORTH CAROLINA COMMISSION COST SHARE PROGRAMS

مم ا

As a Soil and Water District Supervisor, for theSoil and Water Conservation
District, I have applied for, or stand to benefit* from, a contract under a commission cost share program. I did
not vote on the approval or denial of the application or attempt to influence the outcome of any action on the
application. The proposed contract is for the installation of the following best management practices.
Program: NCACSP
Best management practice: Sod-Based Rotation 17 month
Contract number: 53-2024-006 Contract amount: \$ 765.00 Score on priority ranking sheet: 270 out of 475
Cost Share Rate: 75 % If different than 75%, please list % percent:
Reason:
Relative rank (e.g., ranked 8th out of 12 projects considered): 4 out of 4
Were any higher or equally ranked contracts denied? Yes Vo
If yes, give an explanation as to why the supervisor's contract was approved over the other contracts:
Supervisor name (Print): Tony L. Ragan
(District Supervisor's signature) (District Supervisor's signature) Date
Approved by (Print): Michael L. Gaster
Michael & Gastes 6-11-24
(District Chairperson's signature) Date
To be completed by Department of Agriculture staff only:
The Soil & Water Conservation Commission has approved the subject application for a contract pursuant
G.S. 139-8(b)(2) on The record of Soil & Water Conservation Commission
action is recorded in themeeting minutes.
*Beneficiaries include but are not limited to applicant, landowner, and/or business partners.

NC -CSPs-1B (05/22)

ADDENDUM TO APPLICATION FOR ASSISTANCE NORTH CAROLINA COMMISSION COST SHARE PROGRAMS

As a Soil and Water District Supervisor, for the LEESoil and Water Conservation
District, I have applied for, or stand to benefit* from, a contract under a commission cost share program. I did
not vote on the approval or denial of the application or attempt to influence the outcome of any action on the
application. The proposed contract is for the installation of the following best management practices.
Program: NCACSP
Best management practice: Sod-Based Rotation 17 month
Contract number: 53-2024-007 Contract amount: \$ 1,640.00 Score on priority ranking sheet: 315 out of 475
Scara on priority ranking shoot, 315 out of 475
Cost Share Rate: 75% If different than 75%, please list % percent:
Dancon
Reason:
Relative rank (e.g., ranked 8th out of 12 projects considered): $\frac{1}{2}$ out of $\frac{4}{2}$
Were any higher or equally ranked contracts denied? Yes Vo
Tes Tes Tes
If yes, give an explanation as to why the supervisor's contract was approved over the other contracts:
Tony I Pagen
Supervisor name (Print): Tony L. Ragan
(District Supervisor's signature) Date
(= lost to a greaters)
Approved by (Print): Michael L. Gaster
Apple rod 2) (rinty).
Michael & Bast
(District Chairperson's signature) Date
(Crossing Growing Growing)
To be completed by Department of Agriculture staff only:
The Soil & Water Conservation Commission has approved the subject application for a contract pursuant
G.S. 139-8(b)(2) on The record of Soil & Water Conservation Commission
action is recorded in themeeting minutes.

^{*}Beneficiaries include but are not limited to applicant, landowner, and/or business partners.

NC -CSPs-1B (05/22)

ADDENDUM TO APPLICATION FOR ASSISTANCE NORTH CAROLINA COMMISSION COST SHARE PROGRAMS

As a Soil and Water District Supervisor, for the District, I have applied for, or stand to benefit* from, a contract under a commission cost share program. I did not vote on the approval or denial of the application or attempt to influence the outcome of any action on the application. The proposed contract is for the installation of the following best management practices. Program: AgWRAP
Concornation Irrigation Conversion
Best management practice: Conservation Irrigation Conversion
Contract number: 53-2024-802 Contract amount: \$ 35,000.00
Contract number: 53-2024-802 Contract amount: \$ 35,000.00 Score on priority ranking sheet: 100 out of 100
Cost Share Rate: 75% If different than 75%, please list % percent:
Reason:
Relative rank (e.g., ranked 8th out of 12 projects considered): 1 out of 1
Were any higher or equally ranked contracts denied? Yes No
If yes, give an explanation as to why the supervisor's contract was approved over the other contracts:
Supervisor name (Print): John T. Dalrymple
(District Supervisor's signature) 5-30-34 Date
Approved by (Print): Michael Gaster
(District Chairperson's signature) (District Chairperson's signature) Date
To be completed by Department of Agriculture staff only:
The Soil & Water Conservation Commission has approved the subject application for a contract pursuant G.S. 139-8(b)(2) on The record of Soil & Water Conservation Commission action is recorded in themeeting minutes.

^{*}Beneficiaries include but are not limited to applicant, landowner, and/or business partners.

NC -CSPs-1B (05/22)

ADDENDUM TO APPLICATION FOR ASSISTANCE NORTH CAROLINA COMMISSION COST SHARE PROGRAMS

As a Soil and Water District Supervisor, for the MARTIN Soil and Water Conservation District, I have applied for, or stand to benefit* from, a contract under a commission cost share program. I did not vote on the approval or denial of the application or attempt to influence the outcome of any action on the application. The proposed contract is for the installation of the following best management practices.
Program: <u>NCACS P</u>
Best management practice: 6RASS WATTER WAY
Contract number: <u>58-204-009</u> Contract amount: \$ <u>13, 959</u>
Score on priority ranking sheet: <u>200</u> out of <u>250</u>
Cost Share Rate: 75% If different than 75%, please list % percent:
Reason:
Relative rank (e.g., ranked 8th out of 12 projects considered): out of
Were any higher or equally ranked contracts denied? Yes X No
If yes, give an explanation as to why the supervisor's contract was approved over the other contracts:
Supervisor name (Print): <u>RICHAR D. D. CANNON</u>
(District Supervisor's signature) 12-6-23 Date
Approved by (Print): RUPERT W. HASTY Jr
(District Chairperson's signature) 12-11-23 Date
To be completed by Department of Agriculture staff only:
The Soil & Water Conservation Commission has approved the subject application for a contract pursuant G.S. 139-8(b)(2) on The record of Soil & Water Conservation Commission action is recorded in themeeting minutes.

^{*}Beneficiaries include but are not limited to applicant, landowner, and/or business partners.

NC -CSPs-1B (05/22)

ADDENDUM TO APPLICATION FOR ASSISTANCE NORTH CAROLINA COMMISSION COST SHARE PROGRAMS

Mecklenhura

Best management practice: Water	
Contract number: 60-2024-004	Contract amount: \$ 10,973
Score on priority ranking sheet 65	out of 130
Cost Share Rate: 90 % If different t	
Reason: New farmer self-c	MART CONTROL DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF TH
	2 projects considered): 1 out of 1
Vere any higher or equally ranked con	
if yes, give an explanation as to	why the supervisor's contract was approved over the other contracts:
	why the supervisor's contract was approved over the other contracts:
	mie/ E Anstly
Supervisor name (Print): 0	mie/ E Anstin
Supervisor name (Print):	aniel E Anstly 7/1/24
Supervisor name (Print): 0 (District Supervisor's signature) Approved by (Print): BAR64	aniel E Anstly 7/1/24
Supervisor name (Print):	aniel E Anstly 7/1/24
Supervisor name (Print): 0 (District Supervisor's signature) Approved by (Print): BAR64	eniel E Anstill 7/1/24 PARTIUSES 7.3.202
Supervisor name (Print):	eniel E Anstill 7/1/24 Date 7-3-2029 Date
Supervisor name (Print): Oca (District Supervisor's signature) Approved by (Print): BARSA Perlatriblisher.	eniel E Anstill 7/1/24 Parte 7-3-2029 Date
Supervisor name (Print):	eniel E Anstill 7/1/24 Parte 7-3-2029 Date

^{*}Beneficiaries include but are not limited to applicant, landowner, and/or business partners.